

In the United States Court of Federal Claims
OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS
No. 22-0592V

BARBARA GOWDY,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: August 29, 2024

*Elizabeth Kyla Abramson, Maglio Christopher & Toale, P.A., Washington, DC, for
Petitioner.*

Camille Michelle Collett, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.

DECISION ON ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS¹

On May 31, 2022, Barbara Gowdy filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*² (the "Vaccine Act"). Petitioner alleged that she suffered a Table shoulder injury related to vaccine administration as the result of an influenza vaccination received on September 28, 2020. On February 16, 2024, I issued a decision awarding compensation to Petitioner based on the Respondent's proffer. ECF No. 38.

¹Because this Decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action taken in this case, it must be made publicly accessible and will be posted on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, and/or at <https://www.govinfo.gov/app/collection/uscourts/national/cofc>, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2018) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the Decision will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all section references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2018).

Petitioner has now filed a motion for attorney's fees and costs, requesting an award of \$35,926.64 (representing \$35,029.50 in fees plus \$897.14 in costs). Application for Attorneys' Fees and Costs ("Motion") filed March 22, 2024, ECF No. 43. Furthermore, Petitioner filed a signed statement representing that Petitioner incurred no personal out-of-pocket expenses. ECF No. 43-4.

Respondent reacted to the motion on April 5, 2024, indicating that he is satisfied the statutory requirements for an award of attorneys' fees and costs are met in this case but deferring resolution of the amount to be awarded to my discretion. Motion at 2-3, ECF No. 44. Petitioner filed a reply on April 8, 2024, reiterating her request for fees and costs as indicated in the Motion. ECF No. 45.

The rates requested for work performed through the end of 2023 are reasonable and consistent with our prior determinations, and will therefore be adopted. Petitioner has also requested the hourly rate of \$320 for 2024 work performed by attorney Elizabeth Abramson, representing a rate increase of \$25; and the rate of \$190 for paralegal work performed by Chelsea Harris in 2024, ECF No. 43-2 at 22. I find these rates to be reasonable and will award the attorney's fees requested. And all time billed to the matter was also reasonably incurred. Furthermore, Petitioner has provided supporting documentation for all claimed costs, ECF No. 43-3. And Respondent offered no specific objection to the rates or amounts sought. I find the requested costs reasonable and hereby award them in full.

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs for successful claimants. Section 15(e). Accordingly, I hereby GRANT Petitioner's Motion for attorney's fees and costs. **I award a total of \$35,926.64 (representing \$35,029.50 in fees plus \$897.14 in costs) as a lump sum in the form of a check jointly payable to Petitioner and Petitioner's counsel, Maglio Christopher and Toale Law. Per Petitioner's request, the check is to be forwarded to: 1515 Ringling Blvd., Suite 700, Sarasota, FL 34236.** In the absence of a timely-filed motion for review (see Appendix B to the Rules of the Court), the Clerk of Court shall enter judgment in accordance with this decision.³

³ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), the parties may expedite entry of judgment by filing a joint notice renouncing their right to seek review.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Brian H. Corcoran

Brian H. Corcoran
Chief Special Master